



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 :

H04M 15/28, 15/22

A1

(11) International Publication Number:

WO 98/52344

(43) International Publication Date:

19 November 1998 (19.11.98)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/SE98/00873

(22) International Filing Date: 12 May 1998 (12.05.98)

(30) Priority Data:  
08/855,529 13 May 1997 (13.05.97) US(71) Applicant: TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON  
[SE/SE]; S-126 25 Stockholm (SE).(72) Inventor: STEIJER, Jörgen; Örngatan 14, S-582 37 Linköping  
(SE).(74) Agent: ERICSSON RADIO SYSTEMS AB; Common Patent  
Dept., S-164 80 Stockholm (SE).


(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published***With international search report.**Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.*

(54) Title: COMMUNICATION METHOD, SYSTEM, AND DEVICE FOR REDUCING PROCESSOR LOAD AT TARIFF SWITCH

## (57) Abstract

A communication device, method and system in which tariff information is provided to a communication device from a control station at call setup, and the charges associated with a call can be calculated and displayed to a device user at the device itself, rather than at a central communication system processor. The tariff information includes the current tariff, future tariff, and time of tariff switch. By providing the tariff information to the communication device, significant signal processing resources at the central communication system processor can be saved.



**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## COMMUNICATION METHOD, SYSTEM, AND DEVICE FOR REDUCING PROCESSOR LOAD AT TARIFF SWITCH

### Field of the Invention

5 The present invention generally relates to billing or tariff calculation for communication systems. More particularly, the present invention relates to a method, device, and system for determining tariffs while conserving the processing resources of a central communication system processor.

### Background of the Invention

10 It is frequently desirable for a person placing a call over a telecommunication system to be informed of the costs of the call. Thus, many telecommunication systems incorporate charging services which inform a calling party of the tariff. The tariff is typically based on some number of component charging elements, such as: 1) a charge indicator for indicating to a calling party whether that party will be charged for the call; 2) initial start units indicative of service charges to be assessed at the start of the charging period; 3) an initial time period indicative of the time from the start of the charging period until the first periodic increment of service charges; 4) a time period indicative of the time between subsequent increments of service charges; and 5) units per time period (UTP), indicative of the number of charging units (e.g., dollars) to be added periodically to service charges at the expiration of the initial time period and subsequent time periods. From this information, or similar parameters, the total tariff or charge for a call can be calculated.

25 FIG. 1 is a table showing exemplary tariff charging elements for different calling plans. In this example, subscribers to each plan are assessed a monthly charge (in this example, 7300 yen or 4400 yen), and are also charged for calls according to the rates for various tariff classes (TC= a-f) as expressed in the table. The tariff classes are determined based upon the distance involved in a subscriber's call (e.g., whether the call is within a predetermined local area, some other area within 160 km, or an area greater than 160 km), and upon the particular monthly plan in which the subscriber is

enrolled. For each tariff class, the tariff to be applied depends upon the time frame during which the call takes place (e.g., day, night, morning).

FIG. 2A is a graphical representation of how the charging elements affect the service charges. The graph shows the applicable service charges (including an initial unit IV and additional units per time period UTP) as a function of time, i.e., the service charges that would be applied if a call "release" were to occur at a particular time, in this case designated by X.

Tariff charging elements are subject to change due to factors such as a change in day (e.g., from a mid-week day to a weekend day or holiday), or a change in the time of day (e.g., from a daytime rate to an evening rate). Sometimes, a tariff switch will occur during a call. FIG. 2B is a graphical representation showing the effect of such a tariff switch at time X. A typical tariff change can be expressed as a change in charge rate per time period (e.g., from \$0.10/minute to \$0.20/minute), a change in time period duration (e.g., \$0.10/minute to \$0.10/30 seconds), or both.

Most charging services are implemented by a central system processor, which, in the event of a change in the tariff information during a communication, must re-determine the tariff charging elements for each caller and notify each calling party of the change. Thus, when a tariff change occurs, significant signal processing resources are consumed. Further, because a tariff change may affect a large number of callers, there can be a significant drain on central system processor resources when a tariff change occurs, and there can also be significant delays in notifying calling parties about the tariff change. Known tariff calculation methods and systems do not adequately address this problem.

U.S. Patent 5,303,297 to Hillis discloses a billing system which adapts to a communication system in real time. A central system processor, or billing computer, computes the rate, which is indicated to an individual user. If the user agrees to the rate, the call is connected and the central system processor recalculates the rate based on the overall system load. Each time the rate changes (due to system load changes), the user is notified and asked for approval.

U.S. Patent 5,488,655 to Hamlen discloses a method and system for controlling traffic load by using variable price incentives. Hamlen is similar to Hillis in that a rate is calculated by a central processor, which provides the rate to the user.

5 U.S. Patent 4,751,728 to Treat discloses a telephone call monitoring, metering and selection device which attaches to a standard telephone. A user pre-programs rate information for multiple telephone service providers (e.g., different long distance companies), which allows the device to determine which service provider will cost the least for a given call. While the device is capable of calculating rates and displaying cost to a user, the device is not an integral part of the telephone. Further, since the rate information is programmed by the user, the device is subject to human error.

10 U.S. Patent 5,400,395 to Berenato discloses a telephone line selector and accounting system for selecting the lowest-priced long distance carrier and displaying rate information to a user during a phone call. The system automatically calculates rate information based on carrier update tones, and can be connected between several carriers and multiple telephones. The device therefore is not an integral part of the telephone.

15 All of the above-described systems involve the calculation of rates at a device external to a telephone device, and therefore do not adequately address the problem of reducing processor load upon the occurrence of a tariff switch. It would be desirable for a rate calculation system to reduce this processor load.

### Summary of the Invention

20 The present invention overcomes the above-noted problems, and achieves additional advantages, by providing for a tariff calculation system in which tariff information is provided to a communication system user (i.e., a mobile communication device) at call set-up. By providing the tariff information to a device at call set-up, the user's communication device can perform the tariff calculation, thus reducing the processor load of the central system processor.

**Brief Description of the Drawings**

The present invention can be more fully understood upon reading the following Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

5 FIG. 1 is a table of tariff charges for a typical telecommunication system;

FIGs. 2A and 2B are graphical representations of tariff charges for a typical telecommunication system during a time period with a constant tariff rate and during a time period which includes a tariff switch, respectively;

10 FIG. 3 is a block diagram of a communication device and system which advantageously employs a method according to the present invention; and

FIG. 4 is a flow chart describing the method according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

**Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments**

15 FIG. 3 shows a block diagram of a wireless communication device and system in which the present invention can be implemented. It will be appreciated that while a wireless communication system is shown, the principles of the present invention are applicable to many types of communication devices and systems. In FIG. 3, the device 10 includes a transmitter circuit 12, receiver circuit 14, an antenna 16, and processing circuitry 18. The device 10 transmits and receives signals through antenna 16, to allow 20 the device to exchange communication signals with a control station such as base station 20. The base station 20 communicates with a plurality of communication devices substantially similar to the device 10. In a typical wireless communication system, multiple base stations are provided, and each base station is connected to another control station such as central mobile switching center (MSC) 22 associated 25 with a public switched telephone network (PSTN- not shown). In a typical communication system, tariff information is calculated at the MSC 22 and transmitted as necessary to individual communication devices such as device 10. When a tariff switch occurs, it becomes necessary to notify a potentially large number of

communication devices engaged in communication. As discussed above, a tariff switch causes a significant drain on processor resources at the MSC 22.

In accordance with the present invention, when the communication system establishes a communication link between device 10 and base station 20, which may be the result of device 10 initiating a call or receiving a call, tariff information is sent to the device 10, and is stored in processing circuitry 18. This tariff information allows the device 10 to calculate and display to the user of the terminal the charge rate or total tariff. The tariff information preferably includes the current tariff, the future tariff, and the time until the tariff switch will occur. By performing tariff calculations in the communication device 10 rather than the MSC 22, significant processing resources at the MSC 22 can be saved.

FIG. 4 is a flow chart describing a method according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. The process begins in step 100, when a communication link involving a communication device 10 is requested. The request can be initiated by the communication device 10 or by another communication device seeking to communicate with communication device 10. In step 102, the MSC 22 provides tariff information, including charging rates for the currently applicable tariff, the next tariff, and the time at which the applicable tariff will switch from the current tariff to the next tariff, to the device 10. Since, in the exemplary tariff schedule shown in FIG. 1, the tariff class includes the current tariff, next tariff, and time of tariff switch, the tariff class can be transmitted to the device 10 as the tariff information. The tariff information is preferably provided at or before call setup (that is, at or before the establishment of the communication link). In step 104, the tariff information is stored in the device 10 (e.g., in processing circuitry 18), and the device 10 calculates and displays tariff information for the subscriber.

A particular example of a call in which the present invention can be implemented will now be described.

The tariff information provided to the device 10 in step 102 includes a current tariff associated with a current time period value (TP1), and a next tariff associated with a next time period value (TP2). The next tariff is the tariff to be used after the

tariff switch. Also provided is the time from call setup to the tariff switch, as calculated at the control station (base station 20 or MSC 22).

According to an exemplary embodiment, the time until the tariff switch is converted to a number of current time periods until tariff switch (NTPUTS) at the control station, according to the following equation:

$$\text{NTPUTS} = \text{time until tariff switch} / \text{current time period}.$$

Thus, the new charging elements (associated with the next tariff) are not applied until the expiration of the ongoing time period.

For example, assuming a time until tariff switch of 3 minutes and 15 seconds (i.e., 195 sec.), a current time period of 10 seconds, and the corresponding NTPUTS value is  $195 / 10$  (i.e., approximately 20).

The NTPUTS and the next time period are sent, together with the current time period, to the device 10 in a call setup message.

By implementing a counter in the device 10, the device can determine when a tariff switch will occur, and then automatically adjust the time period value.

In this example, a tariff class TC = a is applicable for a call within a predefined local area. If the call is made at 18.25.14 hours, tariff T = 1 will be used. The current time period value according to tariff 1 is 9.0 seconds. Since the tariff class TC = a, the tariff 1 will change to tariff 2 at 19.00 hours.

The time left until the tariff switch is  $19.00.00 - 18.25.14 = 34 \text{ min. and } 46 \text{ seconds}$ , or 2086 seconds.

In this example,  $\text{NTPUTS} = 2086 / 9 = 231.78 = \text{approximately } 232$ .

The time period value for tariff 2 is 16.0 seconds.

Thus, in this example, the following data will be included in the tariff information provided to the device 10 at call setup:

- Current time period = 9.0 sec.
- NTPUTS = 232.
- Next time period = 16.0 sec.



As a result of being provided with this information, the device 10 can determine when 232 time periods have expired, and can change the time period value from 9.0 seconds to 16.0 seconds upon the expiration of the 232 time periods.

5 It will be appreciated that according to the present invention, by sending tariff switch information at call setup instead of waiting until a tariff switch, the central processor load at the tariff switch will be reduced. Furthermore, the present invention avoids the time differential resulting from sending tariff switch information to individual devices, since the information is already in the mobile station.

10 While the foregoing has included many details and specificities, it is to be understood that these are merely for purposes of explanation, and are not to be construed as limitations of the invention. Many modifications will be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art which do not depart from the spirit and scope of the invention, as defined by the following claims and their legal equivalents.

**WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

1. A communication system, comprising:

one or more control stations, each control station associated with a public switched telephone network (PSTN); and

5 one or more communication devices for exchanging communication signals with the one or more control stations,

wherein tariff information is provided to the one or more communication devices from the one or more control stations at the initiation of a communication link, and the one or more communication devices calculate a tariff associated with a communication link between the one or more communication devices and the one or more control stations based on the tariff information.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the tariff information includes a current tariff, a next tariff, and a tariff switch time.

3. The system of claim 1, wherein the communication devices are wireless communication devices.

4. A method for calculating a tariff associated with a communication link, comprising the steps of:

transmitting tariff information from a control station to a communication device at initiation of the communication link; and

20 calculating a tariff associated with a communication link between the communication device and the control station at the communication device, using the tariff information.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the communication link is a wireless communication link, and the step of transmitting is performed using a wireless communication signal.

6. The method of claim 4, wherein the tariff information includes a current tariff, a next tariff, and a tariff switch time.

7. A wireless communication device, comprising:

transmitting means for transmitting communication signals to a control station;

receiving means for receiving communication signals from the control station;

and

processing means for calculating a tariff for a communication link between the control station and the wireless communication device based on tariff information provided by the control station at the initiation of the communication link.

8. The device of claim 7, wherein the tariff information includes a current tariff, a next tariff, and a tariff switch time.

FIG. 1

PLAN 1				PLAN 2			
MONTHLY CHARGE	7300 YEN				4400 YEN		
	DAY 08-19	NIGHT 19-24	MORNING 24-08		DAY 08-19	NIGHT 19-24	MORNING 24-08
TC=a LOCAL CHARGING AREA	T=1 TP=9.0 SEC.	T=2 TP=16.0 SEC.	T=3 TP=18.0 SEC.	TC=b	T=4 TP=5.5 SEC.	T=5 TP=10.0 SEC.	T=6 TP=11.0 SEC.
TC=c OTHER AREA < 160Km DISTANCE	T=7 TP=8.0 SEC.	T=8 TP=14.5 SEC.	T=9 TP=16.0 SEC.	TC=d	T=10 TP=5.0 SEC.	T=11 TP=9.0 SEC.	T=12 TP=10.0 SEC.
TC=e OTHER AREA > 160Km DISTANCE	T=13 TP=6.5 SEC.	T=14 TP=12.0 SEC.	T=15 TP=13.0 SEC.	TC=f	T=16 TP=4.0 SEC.	T=17 TP=7.0 SEC.	T=18 TP=8.0 SEC.

FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 3





FIG. 4



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/SE 98/00873

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 6 H04M15/28 H04M15/22

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 6 H04M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 014, no. 451 (E-0984), 27 September 1990 & JP 02 180462 A (TAIKO DENKI SEISAKUSHO:KK;OTHERS: 01), 13 July 1990 see abstract	1-8
X A	EP 0 647 055 A (AT & T CORP) 5 April 1995 see abstract see column 5, line 44 - line 50	1,3-5,7 2,6,8
A	EP 0 734 144 A (SIEMENS AG) 25 September 1996 see column 4, line 19 - line 23	1-8
A	WO 95 20298 A (NOKIA TELECOMMUNICATIONS OY) 27 July 1995 see page 5, line 24 - page 6, line 23	1-8
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

**\* Special categories of cited documents :**

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

7 October 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

19/10/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Montalbano, F

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. Application No

PCT/SE 98/00873

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 96 24229 A (MCGREGOR, D.) 8 August 1996 see page 4, line 1 - line 16	1-8
A	WO 96 18259 A (DECAUX JEAN CLAUDE ;GONTER FERENC (FR)) 13 June 1996 see page 10, line 23 - line 31	1-8



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/SE 98/00873

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0647055	A	05-04-1995	JP 7177264 A	14-07-1995
EP 0734144	A	25-09-1996	NONE	
WO 9520298	A	27-07-1995	FI 940339 A	25-07-1995
			AU 681933 B	11-09-1997
			AU 1419795 A	08-08-1995
			CN 1139508 A	01-01-1997
			EP 0741949 A	13-11-1996
			JP 9507733 T	05-08-1997
WO 9624229	A	08-08-1996	US 5577100 A	19-11-1996
			AU 695509 B	13-08-1998
			AU 4901096 A	21-08-1996
			BR 9606875 A	23-12-1997
			CA 2211892 A	08-08-1996
			CN 1176039 A	11-03-1998
			EP 0808547 A	26-11-1997
WO 9618259	A	13-06-1996	FR 2728125 A	14-06-1996

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**